

FRACTIONAL SUPERSYMMETRIC QUANTUM MECHANICS, TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANTS AND GENERALIZED DEFORMED OSCILLATOR ALGEBRAS

C. QUESNE*

*Physique Nucléaire Théorique et Physique Mathématique,
Université Libre de Bruxelles, Campus de la Plaine CP229,
Boulevard du Triomphe, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
E-mail: cquesne@ulb.ac.be*

Abstract

Fractional supersymmetric quantum mechanics of order λ is realized in terms of the generators of a generalized deformed oscillator algebra and a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -grading structure is imposed on the Fock space of the latter. This realization is shown to be fully reducible with the irreducible components providing λ sets of minimally bosonized operators corresponding to both unbroken and broken cases. It also furnishes some examples of $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded uniform topological symmetry of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ with topological invariants generalizing the Witten index.

Running head: Fractional Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

Keywords: Quantum mechanics; fractional supersymmetry; topological invariants; generalized deformed oscillator

PACS Nos.: 03.65.Fd, 11.30.Pb

*Directeur de recherches FNRS

1 Introduction

Supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SSQM), which was originally introduced as a testing bench for some new ideas in quantum field theory [1], has found a lot of applications in various fields (for reviews see e.g. [2]). In such a theory, there is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of the Hilbert space and the Hamiltonian H is written as the square of (at least) one conserved supercharge: $Q^2 = H$, with $[H, Q] = 0$.

Since its introduction, it has been extended in various ways, thus giving rise for instance to parasupersymmetric (PSSQM) [3, 4], orthosupersymmetric (OSSQM) [5], pseudosupersymmetric [6], and fractional supersymmetric quantum mechanics (FSSQM) [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. In this letter, our main interest will be the latter, where one replaces the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading characterizing SSQM by a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -grading in such a way that the Hamiltonian becomes the λ th power of a conserved fractional supercharge:

$$Q^\lambda = H, \tag{1}$$

with

$$[H, Q] = 0 \tag{2}$$

and $\lambda \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$.

It is usual to realize FSSQM of order λ in terms of bosonic creation and annihilation operators together with some operators generalizing the fermionic ones. The latter, which are distinct from the parafermionic operators of order λ [15], are related instead to the q -deformed harmonic oscillator [16] with q a primitive λ th root of unity, e.g., $q = \exp(2\pi i/\lambda)$ such that $q^\lambda = 1$.

Here, in line with our previous studies of some other variants of SSQM [17, 18], we shall adopt another viewpoint and realize FSSQM of order λ in terms of generalized deformed oscillator algebra (GDOA) generators ([19] and references quoted therein) by imposing a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -grading structure on the corresponding Fock space. As a result, FSSQM of order λ will prove fully reducible and we shall get a *minimal* bosonization of this theory in terms of a *single* bosonic degree of freedom.

Another purpose of this letter is connected with the concept of topological symmetries, which has recently been introduced [20] in an attempt to construct generalizations of supersymmetry sharing its topological properties in the sense that they involve integer-valued topological invariants similar to the Witten index [1]. Since a special case of $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded topological symmetries has been shown to be related to FSSQM of order λ [20], our realization of the latter in terms of GDOA generators will provide us with simple examples of the former and of its topological invariants.

2 Generalized Deformed Oscillator Algebras

Let us start with a brief review of GDOAs [19].

A GDOA may be defined as a nonlinear associative algebra $\mathcal{A}(G(N))$ generated by the operators $N = N^\dagger$, $a^\dagger$, and $a = (a^\dagger)^\dagger$, satisfying the commutation relations

$$[N, a^\dagger] = a^\dagger, \quad [N, a] = -a, \quad [a, a^\dagger] = G(N), \quad (3)$$

where $G(N) = [G(N)]^\dagger$ is some Hermitian function of N .

We restrict ourselves here to GDOAs possessing a bosonic Fock space representation. In the latter, we may write $a^\dagger a = F(N)$, $aa^\dagger = F(N+1)$, where the structure function $F(N) = [F(N)]^\dagger$ is such that

$$G(N) = F(N+1) - F(N) \quad (4)$$

and is assumed to satisfy the conditions

$$F(0) = 0, \quad F(n) > 0 \quad \text{if } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (5)$$

The carrier space $\mathcal{F}$ of such a representation can be constructed from a vacuum state $|0\rangle$ (such that $a|0\rangle = N|0\rangle = 0$) by successive applications of the creation operator $a^\dagger$. Its basis states

$$|n\rangle = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n F(i) \right)^{-1/2} (a^\dagger)^n |0\rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (6)$$

where we set $\prod_{i=1}^0 \equiv 1$, satisfy the relations $N|n\rangle = n|n\rangle$, $a^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{F(n+1)}|n+1\rangle$, and $a|n\rangle = \sqrt{F(n)}|n-1\rangle$.

For $G(N) = I$, we obtain $F(N) = N$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}(G(N))$ reduces to the standard (bosonic) oscillator algebra $\mathcal{A}(I)$, for which the creation and annihilation operators may be written as $a^\dagger = (x - iP)/\sqrt{2}$, $a = (x + iP)/\sqrt{2}$, where P denotes the momentum operator ($P = -id/dx$).

A $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -grading structure can be imposed on $\mathcal{F}$ by introducing a grading operator

$$T = e^{2\pi i N/\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}, \quad (7)$$

which is such that

$$T^\dagger = T^{-1}, \quad T^\lambda = I. \quad (8)$$

It has λ distinct eigenvalues q^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda - 1$, with corresponding eigenspaces $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ spanned by $|n\rangle = |k\lambda + \mu\rangle$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and such that $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\lambda-1} \mathcal{F}_\mu$. Here $q \equiv \exp(2\pi i/\lambda)$. From (3), it results that T satisfies the relations

$$[N, T] = 0, \quad a^\dagger T = q^{-1} T a^\dagger, \quad a T = q T a, \quad (9)$$

expressing the fact that N preserves the grade, while $a^\dagger$ (resp. a) increases (resp. decreases) it by one unit.

The operators

$$P_\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\lambda-1} q^{-\mu\nu} T^\nu, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda - 1, \quad (10)$$

project on the various subspaces $\mathcal{F}_\mu$, $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda - 1$, and therefore satisfy the relations

$$P_\mu^\dagger = P_\mu, \quad P_\mu P_\nu = \delta_{\mu,\nu} P_\mu, \quad \sum_{\mu=0}^{\lambda-1} P_\mu = I \quad (11)$$

in $\mathcal{F}$. As a consequence of (9), they also fulfil the relations

$$[N, P_\mu] = 0, \quad a^\dagger P_\mu = P_{\mu+1} a^\dagger, \quad a P_\mu = P_{\mu-1} a, \quad (12)$$

where we use the convention $P_{\mu'} = P_\mu$ if $\mu' - \mu = 0 \bmod \lambda$.

A special case of GDOA with a built-in $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -grading structure is provided by the GDOA associated with a C_λ -extended oscillator algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_{\lambda-2}}^{(\lambda)}$, where the cyclic group $C_\lambda = \mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ is generated by T , i.e., $C_\lambda = \{T, T^2, \ldots, T^{\lambda-1}, T^\lambda = I\}$ [17]. It corresponds to the choice $G(N) = I + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\lambda-1} \alpha_\mu P_\mu$, where α_μ , $\mu = 0, 1, \ldots, \lambda - 1$, are some real parameters constrained by $\sum_{\mu=0}^{\lambda-1} \alpha_\mu = 0$, and it reduces to the Calogero-Vasiliev algebra [21] in the $\lambda = 2$ limit.

3 Fractional Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

Let us now look for a $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix realization of the fractional supercharge and supersymmetric Hamiltonian of the type

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda-1} A_i e_{i+1,i} + A_\lambda e_{1,\lambda}, \quad H = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} h_i e_{i,i}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$A_i = f_i(N+i)a, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \lambda-1, \quad A_\lambda = f_\lambda(N)(a^\dagger)^{\lambda-1}, \quad (14)$$

$$h_i = h_i(N), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \lambda, \quad (15)$$

are defined in terms of the generators N , $a^\dagger$, a of a GDOA $\mathcal{A}(G(N))$. Here $e_{i,j}$ denotes the λ -dimensional matrix with entry 1 at the intersection of row i and column j and zeros everywhere else, while $f_i(N)$ and $h_i(N)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \lambda$, are some complex and real functions of N , respectively. The functions $f_i(N)$ are furthermore restricted by the condition that

$$\varphi(N) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda} f_i(N) \quad (16)$$

be such that

$$\varphi(n) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \text{if } n = \lambda-1, \lambda, \lambda+1, \ldots \quad (17)$$

On inserting (13) into (1), we obtain λ conditions

$$\begin{aligned} A_\lambda A_{\lambda-1} \ldots A_1 &= h_1, \\ A_{i-1} A_{i-2} \ldots A_1 A_\lambda A_{\lambda-1} \ldots A_i &= h_i, \quad i = 2, 3, \ldots, \lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which, on taking (14) and (15) into account, reduce to

$$h_i(N) = h_1(N + i - 1) = \varphi(N + i - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} F(N + i - j), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, \lambda. \quad (19)$$

It is then straightforward to check that with this choice, H and Q also satisfy Eq. (2). Hence H is completely determined by the function $\varphi(N)$, defined in (16), and by the GDOA structure function $F(N)$.

In FSSQM, it is well known that there exists another conserved fractional supercharge, the fractional covariant derivative D (see e.g. [11, 14]). It satisfies relations similar to (1) and (2),

$$D^\lambda = H, \quad [H, D] = 0, \quad (20)$$

as well as a q -commutation relation with Q ,

$$[D, Q]_q \equiv DQ - qQD = 0. \quad (21)$$

A $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix realization of D can be obtained in the form

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda-1} B_i e_{i+1,i} + B_\lambda e_{1,\lambda}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$B_i = g_i(N + i)a, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda - 1, \quad B_\lambda = g_\lambda(N)(a^\dagger)^{\lambda-1}, \quad (23)$$

and $g_i(N)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$, are some complex functions of N . Equation (20) is satisfied provided

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda} g_i(N) = \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda} f_i(N), \quad (24)$$

while Eq. (21) imposes the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(N)g_{i+1}(N) &= qf_{i+1}(N)g_i(N), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda - 1, \\ f_\lambda(N)g_1(N) &= qf_1(N)g_\lambda(N). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The general solution of the latter is given by $g_i(N) = q^{i-1}f_i(N)k(N)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$, in terms of some complex function $k(N)$, which, from Eq. (24), is restricted

by the condition $k^\lambda(N) = q^{-\lambda(\lambda-1)/2}$. Up to some N -dependent λ th root of unity, which for simplicity's sake we assume equal to 1, $k(N)$ is therefore obtained as $k(N) = q^{-(\lambda-1)/2}$, so that the functions $g_i(N)$ are finally given by

$$g_i(N) = q^{-(\lambda-2i+1)/2} f_i(N), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda. \quad (26)$$

It results from Eq. (19) and from the assumptions (5) and (17) that the spectrum of H is nonnegative. A complete set of eigenvectors is given in terms of the Fock space basis states (6) by

$$|\phi_0, i\rangle = |i - d_{j-1} - 1\rangle e_{j,1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda - 1), \quad (27)$$

for $E_0 = 0$ and

$$|\phi_n, i\rangle = |n + \lambda - 1 - i\rangle e_{i,1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda, \quad (28)$$

for $E_n = \varphi(n + \lambda - 2) \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} F(n + \lambda - 1 - j) > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In (27), $j = j(i) \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda - 1\}$ is determined by the condition $d_{j-1} + 1 \leq i \leq d_j$, where $d_j \equiv j(2\lambda - j - 1)/2$.

All the excited states are therefore λ -fold degenerate and the fractional supercharge Q acts cyclically on them: $|\phi_n, 1\rangle \rightarrow |\phi_n, 2\rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow |\phi_n, \lambda\rangle \rightarrow |\phi_n, 1\rangle$. For the $\frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda - 1)$ -fold degenerate ground state, the action of Q is more complicated since $Q|\phi_0, d_{j-1} + 1\rangle = 0$, while for $d_{j-1} + 2 \leq i \leq d_j$, $Q|\phi_0, i\rangle \propto f_j(i + j - d_{j-1} - 2)|\phi_0, i + \lambda - j - 1\rangle$ may be vanishing or not according to the value assumed by $f_j(i + j - d_{j-1} - 2)$. Since $i + j - d_{j-1} - 2 \leq \lambda - 2$, condition (17) does not indeed ensure the nonvanishing of the latter.

The $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix realization (13), (22) of H , Q , and D can be diagonalized through a unitary transformation $U = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\lambda} P_{i-j} e_{i,j}$, expressed in terms of the projection operators P_μ defined in (10). The results read

$$\begin{aligned} H' &\equiv U H U^\dagger = \text{diag}(H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{\lambda-1}), \\ Q' &\equiv U Q U^\dagger = \text{diag}(Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_{\lambda-1}), \\ D' &\equiv U D U^\dagger = \text{diag}(D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{\lambda-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$H_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} h_i(N) P_{\mu-i+1}, \quad Q_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} A_i P_{\mu-i+1}, \quad D_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} B_i P_{\mu-i+1}, \quad (30)$$

for $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda - 1$, and $h_i(N)$, A_i , and B_i are respectively given by Eqs. (19), (14), and (23), together with Eqs. (16) and (26). Each of the λ sets of operators $\{H_\mu, Q_\mu, D_\mu\}$ satisfies the FSSQM relations (1), (2), (20), and (21), and is written in terms of a single bosonic degree of freedom through the operators N , $a^\dagger$, a of $\mathcal{A}(G(N))$. We have therefore proved that FSSQM of order λ is fully reducible and we have obtained a minimal bosonization thereof.

The eigenvalues $E_n^{(\mu)}$ of the bosonized fractional supersymmetric Hamiltonian H_μ , defined in (30), can be written as

$$E_{\lambda k + \nu}^{(\mu)} = \begin{cases} \varphi(\lambda k + \mu) \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda-1} F(\lambda k + \mu - i + 1) & \text{if } \nu = 0, 1, \dots, \mu, \\ \varphi[\lambda(k+1) + \mu] \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda-1} F[\lambda(k+1) + \mu - i + 1] & \\ \text{if } \nu = \mu + 1, \mu + 2, \dots, \lambda - 1, \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

where $k = 0, 1, \dots$. From Eqs. (5) and (17), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^{(\mu)} &= E_1^{(\mu)} = \dots = E_\mu^{(\mu)} = 0, \\ E_{\lambda k + \mu + 1}^{(\mu)} &= E_{\lambda k + \mu + 2}^{(\mu)} = \dots = E_{\lambda(k+1) + \mu}^{(\mu)} > 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

if $\mu = 0, 1, \dots$, or $\lambda - 2$, and that

$$E_{\lambda k}^{(\lambda-1)} = E_{\lambda k + 1}^{(\lambda-1)} = \dots = E_{\lambda(k+1)-1}^{(\lambda-1)} > 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (33)$$

if $\mu = \lambda - 1$.

In the former case, the corresponding eigenvectors may be written as

$$|\phi_0^{(\mu)}, i\rangle = |\mu + 1 - i\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu + 1, \quad (34)$$

for $E = E_0^{(\mu)} = 0$ and

$$|\phi_k^{(\mu)}, i\rangle = |\lambda k + \mu + 1 - i\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda, \quad (35)$$

for $E = E_{\lambda k + \mu}^{(\mu)} > 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, $Q_\mu |\phi_0^{(\mu)}, i\rangle \propto f_i(\mu) |\phi_0^{(\mu)}, i+1\rangle$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$, and $Q_\mu |\phi_0^{(\mu)}, \mu+1\rangle = 0$, while Q_μ acts cyclically on $|\phi_k^{(\mu)}, 1\rangle$, $|\phi_k^{(\mu)}, 2\rangle, \dots, |\phi_k^{(\mu)}, \lambda\rangle$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. On the contrary, in the latter case, one has

$$|\phi_k^{(\lambda-1)}, i\rangle = |\lambda(k+1) - i\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda, \quad (36)$$

for $E = E_{\lambda k}^{(\lambda-1)} > 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $Q_{\lambda-1}$ has a cyclic action on all the sets of states $|\phi_k^{(\lambda-1)}, 1\rangle, |\phi_k^{(\lambda-1)}, 2\rangle, \dots, |\phi_k^{(\lambda-1)}, \lambda\rangle$.

We therefore conclude that in the present realization, for $\mu = 0$ FSSQM is unbroken with a nondegenerate ground state at a vanishing energy. For $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$, or $\lambda - 2$, the ground state is still at a vanishing energy but is $(\mu + 1)$ -fold degenerate and FSSQM is unbroken or broken according to whether $f_1(\mu) = f_2(\mu) = \dots = f_\mu(\mu) = 0$ or at least one of the $f_i(\mu)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$, is different from zero. Finally, for $\mu = \lambda - 1$, the λ -fold degenerate ground state lies at a positive energy and FSSQM is broken. In all the cases, the excited states are λ -fold degenerate. It is worth noting that for the standard realization of FSSQM in terms of ordinary bosonic operators and q -deformed ones [11], only the counterparts of the $\mu = 0$ case and of the $\mu = \lambda - 2$ one with broken FSSQM are obtained. The present realization therefore leads to a much richer picture.

Before concluding this section, it is interesting to consider the $\lambda = 2$ limit, wherein FSSQM reduces to ordinary SSQM. In such a case, $q = \exp(\pi i) = -1$, so that the q -commutator of Eq. (21) becomes an anticommutator, the functions $g_i(N)$ are given by $g_1(N) = -if_1(N)$, $g_2(N) = if_2(N)$, and Q , D are the usual supercharge and covariant derivative, respectively. In the special case where $f_1(N) = f_2(N) = f(N)$ and $f(N)$ is a real function of N such that $f(n) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, Q and D are two Hermitian conserved supercharges. From them, one can construct non-Hermitian ones,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q + iD) = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f(N+1)a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{Q}^\dagger &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q - iD) = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f(N)a^\dagger \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

satisfying the usual SSQM defining relations $\mathcal{Q}^2 = (\mathcal{Q}^\dagger)^2 = 0$, $\{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\dagger\} = H$, with $H = \text{diag}(h_1(N), h_2(N))$ and $h_1(N) = f^2(N)F(N)$, $h_2(N) = h_1(N + 1)$. Such a realization of SSQM coincides with that considered in Ref. [18] for PSSQM of order $p = 1$.

4 $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -Graded Uniform Topological Symmetries of Type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and Topological Invariants

Before applying the concept of topological symmetries to the new realization of FSSQM obtained in the previous section, let us briefly review the former.

According to Ref. [20], a quantum system is said to possess a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded topological symmetry (TS) of type $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\lambda)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.

1. The quantum system is $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded. This means that the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of the quantum system is the direct sum of λ of its (nontrivial) subspaces $\mathcal{H}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$, whose vectors are said to have a definite grading c_i . In addition, the Hamiltonian H of the system has a complete set of eigenvectors with definite grading.
2. The energy spectrum is nonnegative.
3. For every positive energy eigenvalue E , there is a positive integer d_E such that E is $d_E m$ -fold degenerate and the corresponding eigenspaces are spanned by $d_E m_1$ vectors of grade c_1 , $d_E m_2$ vectors of grade $c_2, \dots$, and $d_E m_\lambda$ vectors of grade c_λ (hence $m = \sum_{i=1}^\lambda m_i$).

One speaks of uniform topological symmetries (UTS) whenever $d_E = 1$ for all positive energy eigenvalues E .

For a system with a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded TS of type $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\lambda)$, one can introduce a set of integer-valued topological invariants $\Delta_{ij} \equiv m_i n_j^{(0)} - m_j n_i^{(0)}$, where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda\}$ and $n_k^{(0)}$ denotes the number of zero-energy states of grade c_k .

From the definition of TS, it is possible to obtain the underlying operator algebras supporting such symmetries [20]. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded TS of type $(1, 1)$ has been shown to yield the SSQM algebra with Δ_{12} reducing to the Witten index.

Here we shall restrict ourselves to a special case of $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded UTS of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$, whose algebra coincides with that of FSSQM of order λ . For a quantum system with Hamiltonian H to have a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded TS of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$, it is indeed sufficient that the following conditions be fulfilled.

1. There exist a grading operator τ and a TS generator Q satisfying the relations

$$\tau^\lambda = 1, \quad \tau^\dagger = \tau^{-1}, \quad [H, \tau] = 0, \quad [\tau, Q]_q = 0, \quad (38)$$

with $q = \exp(2\pi i/\lambda)$, as well as Eqs. (1) and (2).

2. The spectrum of H is nonnegative.

The presence of this particular TS in turn implies the existence of $l = [\lambda/2]$ Hermitian operators M_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, commuting with τ and Q and fulfilling the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_1^2 - M_1)(Q_1^2 - M_2) \dots (Q_1^2 - M_l) &= 2^{-l+1}H, \\ (Q_2^2 - M_1)(Q_2^2 - M_2) \dots (Q_2^2 - M_l) &= (-1)^l 2^{-l+1}H, \quad \text{if } \lambda = 2l, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_1^2 - M_1)(Q_1^2 - M_2) \dots (Q_1^2 - M_l)Q_1 &= 2^{-l+1/2}H, \\ (Q_2^2 - M_1)(Q_2^2 - M_2) \dots (Q_2^2 - M_l)Q_2 &= 0, \quad \text{if } \lambda = 2l + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q + Q^\dagger), \quad Q_2 = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}(Q - Q^\dagger). \quad (41)$$

For the $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix realization of FSSQM of order λ in terms of GDOA generators considered in Sec. 3, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is the direct sum of λ copies of

the GDOA Fock space $\mathcal{F}$: $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{F}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$. With the grading operator τ realized by the $\lambda \times \lambda$ matrix

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} q^i e_{i,i}, \quad (42)$$

a grade $c_i = q^i$ is assigned to the i th Fock space $\mathcal{H}_i$. It is straightforward to check that τ , as defined in (42), satisfies Eq. (38) with H and Q as expressed in (13) and that $\tau|\phi_0, i\rangle = q^i|\phi_0, i\rangle$, $\tau|\phi_n, i\rangle = q^i|\phi_n, i\rangle$ for the energy eigenstates (27) and (28), respectively. Since it has been shown in Sec. 3 that the spectrum of H is nonnegative and that all the positive-energy eigenvalues are λ -fold degenerate, it follows that all the conditions for having a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded UTS of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ are fulfilled. The topological invariants for the present system are

$$\Delta_{ij} = -\Delta_{ji} = i - j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq \lambda. \quad (43)$$

On using Eqs. (13) – (16) and (19), we can also obtain an explicit form for the operators M_i of Eq. (39) or (40),

$$M_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} m_{ij}(N) e_{j,j}, \quad m_{ij}(N) = m_{i1}(N + j - 1), \quad (44)$$

where $m_{i1}(N)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, are real solutions of a l th-degree algebraic equation. For $\lambda = 3, 4$, and 5 , the latter are given by

$$m_{11}(N) = \alpha_1(N) + \alpha_2(N) + \alpha_3(N), \quad (45)$$

$$m_{i1}(N) = \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_1(N) + \alpha_2(N) + \alpha_3(N) + \alpha_4(N) + (-1)^i \delta(N)], \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(N) \equiv & \{[\alpha_1(N) + \alpha_2(N) + \alpha_3(N) + \alpha_4(N)]^2 \\ & - 4[\alpha_1(N)\alpha_3(N) + \alpha_2(N)\alpha_4(N)]\}^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$m_{i1}(N) = \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_1(N) + \alpha_2(N) + \alpha_3(N) + \alpha_4(N) + \alpha_5(N) + (-1)^i \delta(N)], \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(N) \equiv & \{[\alpha_1(N) + \alpha_2(N) + \alpha_3(N) + \alpha_4(N) + \alpha_5(N)]^2 - 4[\alpha_1(N)\alpha_3(N) \\ & + \alpha_2(N)\alpha_4(N) + \alpha_3(N)\alpha_5(N) + \alpha_4(N)\alpha_1(N) + \alpha_5(N)\alpha_2(N)]\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Here $\alpha_i(N) \equiv |f_i(N)|^2 F(N + 1 - i)$ if $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda - 1$, and $\alpha_\lambda(N) \equiv |f_\lambda(N)|^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} F(N + 1 - j)$. It can be checked that the operators within the square roots in (46) and (47) are nonnegative in $\mathcal{F}$ as it should be.

Let us finally consider the fully reduced form of FSSQM given in Eqs. (29) and (30). The transformed operators corresponding to τ and M_i , defined in (42) and (44), are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau' &\equiv U\tau U^\dagger = \text{diag}(\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\lambda-1}), \\ M'_i &\equiv UM_i U^\dagger = \text{diag}(M_{i,0}, M_{i,1}, \dots, M_{i,\lambda-1}),\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

where

$$\tau_\mu = q^{\mu+1}T^{-1}, \quad M_{i,\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} m_{ij}(N)P_{\mu-j+1},\tag{49}$$

for $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda - 1$, and T is defined in Eq. (7). From Eqs. (42), (48), and (49), it can also be shown that $\tau' = \tau T^{-1}$.

For each μ value, τ_μ , Q_μ , and H_μ satisfy the defining assumptions of a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded UTS of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ with $\mathcal{H}$ now coinciding with $\mathcal{F}$. We therefore get λ realizations of such a UTS in the same space $\mathcal{F}$, differing from one another by the grade assigned to the subspaces $\mathcal{F}_\nu$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda - 1$, which according to (7) and (49), is given by $c_\nu^{(\mu)} = q^{\mu-\nu+1}$. Hence, the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with grade $q, q^2, \dots, q^{\mu+1}, q^{\mu+2}, \dots, q^{\lambda-1}, 1$ are to be identified with $\mathcal{F}_\mu, \mathcal{F}_{\mu-1}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda-1}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{\mu+2}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu+1}$, respectively. For all the energy eigenstates (34) – (36), we then obtain $\tau_\mu |\phi_k^{(\mu)}, i\rangle = q^i |\phi_k^{(\mu)}, i\rangle$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. As a consequence, the topological invariants are now

$$\Delta_{ij}^{(\mu)} = -\Delta_{ji}^{(\mu)} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \mu + 1 < j \leq \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq \mu + 1 \text{ or } \mu + 2 \leq i < j \leq \lambda, \end{cases}\tag{50}$$

for $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda - 2$, and

$$\Delta_{ij}^{(\lambda-1)} = -\Delta_{ji}^{(\lambda-1)} = 0 \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq \lambda,\tag{51}$$

for $\mu = \lambda - 1$.

5 Conclusion

In this letter, we have extended to FSSQM of order λ the approach to PSSQM and OSSQM in terms of GDOAs that we had previously proposed and we have

obtained both a fully reducible realization and a minimal bosonization of the theory. Furthermore, we have provided some explicit examples of $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ -graded UTS of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and we have evaluated the corresponding topological invariants.

As in the cases of Beckers-Debergh PSSQM and of OSSQM, it turns out that in the limit $G(N) \rightarrow I$, the fractional supersymmetric Hamiltonian and supercharge contain powers of P^2 and P , respectively. Such features, characteristic of higher-derivative SSQM [22] and $\mathcal{N}$ -fold SSQM [23], hint at possible connections with such theories.

References

- [1] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B188**, 513 (1981); **B202**, 253 (1982).
- [2] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, *Phys. Rep.* **251**, 267 (1995); B. Bagchi, *Supersymmetry in Quantum and Classical Mechanics* (Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2000).
- [3] V. A. Rubakov and V. P. Spiridonov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3**, 1337 (1988); A. Khare, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 1277 (1993).
- [4] J. Beckers and N. Debergh, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340**, 767 (1990); *Z. Phys.* **C51**, 519 (1991); *J. Phys.* **A26**, 4311 (1993).
- [5] A. Khare, A. K. Mishra and G. Rajasekaran, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A8**, 1245 (1993).
- [6] J. Beckers and N. Debergh, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A10**, 2783 (1995).
- [7] C. Ahn, D. Bernard and A. Leclair, *Nucl. Phys.* **B346**, 409 (1990).
- [8] L. Baulieu and E. G. Floratos, *Phys. Lett.* **B258**, 171 (1991).
- [9] R. Kerner, *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 403 (1992).
- [10] A. T. Filippov, A. P. Isaev and A. B. Kurdikov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7**, 2129 (1992).
- [11] S. Durand, *Phys. Lett.* **B312**, 115 (1993); *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A8**, 1795 (1993); *ibid.* **A8**, 2323 (1993).
- [12] N. Mohammadi, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A10**, 1287 (1995).
- [13] N. Fleury and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A11**, 899 (1996).
- [14] J. A. de Azcárraga and A. J. Macfarlane, *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 1115 (1996); R. S. Dunne, A. J. Macfarlane, J. A. de Azcárraga and J. C. Pérez Bueno, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A12**, 3275 (1997).

- [15] H. S. Green, *Phys. Rev.* **90**, 270 (1953); Y. Ohnuki and S. Kamefuchi, *Quantum Field Theory and Parastatistics* (Springer, Berlin, 1982).
- [16] M. Arik and D. D. Coon, *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 524 (1976); L. C. Biedenharn, *J. Phys.* **A22**, L873 (1989); A. J. Macfarlane, *ibid.* **A22**, 4581 (1989).
- [17] C. Quesne and N. Vansteenkiste, *Phys. Lett.* **A240**, 21 (1998); *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **39**, 1175 (2000).
- [18] C. Quesne and N. Vansteenkiste, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A17**, 839 (2002); “Pseudosupersymmetric quantum mechanics: General case, orthosupersymmetries, reducibility, and bosonization”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* (in press).
- [19] J. Katriel and C. Quesne, *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 1650 (1996); C. Quesne and N. Vansteenkiste, *J. Phys.* **A28**, 7019 (1995); *Helv. Phys. Acta* **69**, 141 (1996).
- [20] A. Mostafazadeh and K. Aghababaei Samani, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A15**, 175 (2000); K. Aghababaei Samani and A. Mostafazadeh, *Nucl. Phys.* **B595**, 467 (2001); A. Mostafazadeh, *ibid.* **B624**, 500 (2002).
- [21] M. A. Vasiliev, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A6**, 1115 (1991).
- [22] A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe and V. P. Spiridonov, *Phys. Lett.* **A174**, 273 (1993); A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe, F. Cannata and J.-P. Dedonder, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A10**, 2683 (1995); A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe and D. N. Nishnianidze, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **104**, 1129 (1995).
- [23] H. Aoyama, M. Sato, T. Tanaka and M. Yamamoto, *Phys. Lett.* **B498**, 117 (2001); H. Aoyama, M. Sato and T. Tanaka, *ibid.* **B503**, 423 (2001); *Nucl. Phys.* **B619**, 105 (2001).